DECLARATION OF

My name is I reside at I reside at III. I have been a Nevada resident for 10 years. I hereby state the following:

- I was employed on the Election Counter Board in an offsite location at 2030 E. Flamingo Road, suite 100, Las Vegas, NV. This location was a satellite ballot processing center for the Trade Drive Election Center in Las Vegas, NV.
- 2. I worked on the Counting Board where there were approximately 30 tables of teams of two processing ballots.
- 3. Party affiliations of the employees were marked in large print on our badges, and they had incorrectly marked me as Republican when I'm a registered NP No Party affiliation.
- 4. My job as a Counting Board employee was to separate the ballots from the envelope and check the ballot for identifying marks, tears, etc. that could cause the ballot to be rejected.
- 5. I did my training on October 6-7, 2020, and started my work on October 12, 2020. From my start date through my end date of 11/10/20, I did the exact same job processing mail-in ballots.
- There were also Auditors working at Flamingo. Occasionally, if the Auditors got overwhelmed and backed-up, we would be asked to audit a box of ballots by checking the count and signing the roster.
- 7. Each team would receive a box of 300 ballots in envelopes, in four (4) trays containing 75 ballots each, for counting.
- 8. There are several areas where I have concerns with the processes of the Counting Center. Some of the procedures and directions we were given by supervisors were in direct conflict with the training we received and the election procedures we had been taught.
- 9. There were overall inconsistencies with the way ballots were checked, which resulted in some voters being unequally denied the right to vote, and votes that should have been rejected as spoiled or that otherwise should have been rejected being accepted and counted.

10. There were two distinct time periods which were most significant in terms of unusual and suspicious envelopes and ballots I saw being processed, although I saw these irregularities throughout the time I was working: the first week of processing (October 10-18, 2020) and the days after the election (November 8-9, 2020). Details are below.

11. These are my main areas of the concern.

- a. Inconsistent Signature Match procedures
- b. Inconsistent and wrongful ballot approval
- c. Processing Out-Of-State Ballots
- d. A lack of Auditor oversight and accountability

12. Signature Match

- a. At the beginning of the processing period, Counters would reject ballots for several reasons as we had been trained to do: envelopes without a signature; signatures that did not match the signatures in the database; inappropriately marked ballots; and military ballots without an accompanying oath.
- b. Toward the end of the second week (around 10/29/20), the 'No Signature' envelopes/ballots started to be taken back to the supervisor's office, instead of being rejected as they were the first week. I believe we were told that these envelopes/ballots were taken back to the Trade Drive Election Center so the voter could be called to fix the problem. The ballots that were rejected for 'No Signature' from 10/12-10/29, however, were not taken back for the voter to be contacted and to have an opportunity to fix the problem, as was provided to 'No Signature' voters *after* 10/29/20.
- c. I saw a lot of extremely suspicious-looking signatures. In the first 5 days of processing, I personally saw at least 10 envelopes that appeared to be signed with the same exact "star" symbol. Throughout the counting I saw stars, triangles, straight lines, children's style writing, and other odd symbols used as signatures on ballot envelopes.
- d. Almost 100% of the time, when I would request a signature verification check from a supervisor for a questionable signature, they would take the ballot, check it, and tell me that it was approved for processing despite what looked like a non-matching signatures to me.

e. I finally just stopped asking about signature matches, because no matter how crazy and questionable the signature, it was always approved by the supervisors.

13. Inconsistencies and wrongful ballot approval

- a. I saw instances where the signature on the envelope was signed by a different person than the name of voter to whom the ballot was issued, signing as the voter. Sometimes there would be a letter of explanation for why someone not the voter was signing the ballot, for example: "the voter has dementia," Alzheimer's, COVID, or is handicapped, etc. I saw envelopes with handwritten "no ID" on the envelope. I saw instances where the voters name had been crossed out.
- b. Sometimes there were entirely different names or versions of names signed on these envelopes. In nearly all of these instances, the supervisor still approved the "signature" and cleared the ballot to be counted.
- c. On the last week I was there, especially on November 8-9, many "out-of-state envelopes/ballots" came in. Many ballots were addressed to people in different states and post-marked from those states (outside of Nevada). I asked one of the supervisors (not the main boss but one of the temporary supervisors that they had hired for 2020).
- d. I know other Counters also had a larger number of "out-of-state" questionable ballots to process on those last days of counting.
- e. Some of the ballots I processed were printed on a different or "nicer" paper than the other ballots. I found this very strange. The Counters, including myself, asked the supervisor because ballots made of different paper was concerning and suspicious. The supervisor said that they might have run out of paper for printing ballots, or they might have been printed at another location. Despite her lack of certainty, the supervisor did not attempt to answer my question or give me a certain answer, and directed those ballots to be approved for counting.
- f. If the initials or signature on the actual ballot could be identified from our roster, then the ballot was rejected. If we had multiple people on our roster with the same initials, then the ballot would go through. In that case it was up to luck on whether a person's vote would get counted or get rejected. However, there were a couple instances where we saw initials

on a ballot (after making their correction) that could not be identified on the roster, meaning someone else filled out their ballot. The supervisors approved these ballots, citing that the signatures/initials were unidentifiable. If there was a correction with no initials, it was also approved.

g. The training materials stated that we were supposed to check ID when required, a couple weeks in we were told we did not need to check for ID anymore because someone else was checking for that before it got to us. So I stopped checking for ID in those envelopes. Toward the end of counting we were given envelopes that had never been opened despite the fact that there was no way for those envelopes to have been checked for ID.

14. Lack of Auditor accountability

- a. Auditors verify that the quantities of separated ballots and envelopes were correct and duplicates were accounted for. On average, there should be 75 envelopes and 150 ballot pages as each was a two page ballot.
- b. After we would finish up with a box (each box had 300 ballots in it) it would then get sent to auditing. The auditors at our location, were the only employees not assigned partners, and operated individually. Auditors worked alone and would audit entire boxes of ballots, separated from their envelopes without the oversight accountability of a partner.
- c. Auditors were separated in their own enclosed audit room, with the back of one auditor facing the front of the other auditor, each at their own table.
- d. Auditors had access to the separated ballots. Changes could be easily made to a ballot by lining through candidate names.
 Ballots with corrections simply get sent through to counting.
- e. Ink pens were necessary for the Auditor function to audit and sign the roster. The pens were easily accessible on the tables next to the live ballots.
- f. Auditors were the last to touch the live ballots before they were bundled in trays, then boxes for machine counting at the Trade Drive Election Center.
- g. If an Auditor was dishonest, it would be very easy to change a ballot by crossing out the candidate that was chosen and

filling in the bubble next to a different one. We saw plenty of ballots like that, so it would not appear unusual.

- h. During lunch breaks we would stop what we were doing, leaving ballots on the table. Those that had access to the room during lunch break were the supervisors and the rover/runners.
- i. We saw many ballots that were only marked for the presidential candidate.

15. This last concern is regarding my own personal voting experience.

- a. When I went to the polls to vote, I gave them my name and address, showed them my ID, and when asked to sign for my ballot, the poll worker said my signature did not match my file.
- b. My signature has not changed since I was married 9 years ago. I told her that is the only signature I have had for almost a decade. She then told me just to print my name on the pad because that is how my signature appeared in their system.

I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada, that the foregoing statements by me are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that these statements may be used in a lawsuit regarding the 2020 General Election, and I am willing and able to testify to the same as needed.